

April 15, 2013

Group actions and Noether's theorem, 2.

11.1

We fill in the details left over from March 31 notes.

1. The tautological 1-form $\alpha_{T^*M} \in \Omega^1(T^*M)$.

Recall that in coordinates $(q_1, \dots, q_n): M \cong U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

the corresponding coordinates $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n): T^*U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$

the tautological 1-form $\alpha = \alpha_{T^*M}$ is given by

$$\alpha = \sum p_i dq_i.$$

On the other hand, α has the following coordinate-free description. Suppose $x \in M$, $\eta \in T_x^*M$, $v \in T_\eta(T^*M)$.

Then $d\pi_\eta: T_\eta(T^*M) \rightarrow T_x M = T_x M$ where

$$\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$$

is the canonical projection.

We set

$$\alpha_{(x,\eta)}(v) = \langle \eta, (d\pi)_\eta(v) \rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: T_x^*M \times T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the canonical pairing.

Lemma 1 The two definitions of α agree.

Proof Let $(q_1, \dots, q_n): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n): T^*U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be coordinate charts as above, let $x \in U$, $\eta \in T_x^*U = T_x^*M$, $v \in T_{(x,\eta)}(T^*M)$.

Then

① $\eta = \sum p_i(\eta) (dq_i)_x$ by definition of the induced coordinates $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

② $v = \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} |_{(x,\eta)} + v_{i+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} |_{(x,\eta)})$

for some $v_1, \dots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Claim $d\pi_{(x,\eta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \Big|_{(x,\eta)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \Big|_x \quad \forall i$

$$d\pi_{(x,\eta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \Big|_{(x,\eta)} \right) = 0 \quad \forall i$$

Exercise prove the claim.

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi_{(x,\eta)}(v) &= \langle \eta, (d\pi)_{(x,\eta)}(v) \rangle = \langle \sum p_i \eta (dq_i)|_x, \sum_j v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \Big|_x \rangle \\ &= \langle (\sum p_i dq_i)_{(x,\eta)}, \sum v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \Big|_{(x,\eta)} + v_{j+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \Big|_{(x,\eta)} \rangle \\ &= (\sum p_i dq_i)_{(x,\eta)}(v). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Recall: if $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$ is any diffeomorphism, we can lift it to a diffeomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$:

For $x \in M$, $\eta \in T_x^*M$

$$(*) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x,\eta) = (\varphi(x), (d\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(x)}^T \eta)$$

Note By definition (*) of $\tilde{\varphi}$

$$\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi$$

where $\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection

Consequence: Let $G \times M \rightarrow M$ be an action of a Lie group G on a manifold M , $G \times T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ the corresponding lifted action (see p.10.3), $X \in \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ a vector and X_M, X_{T^*M} the corresponding induced vector fields on M and T^*M , respectively.

Proposition 1 $d\pi \circ X_{T^*M} = X_M \circ \pi$, where, as before,

$\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection.

Proof By definition of a lifted action, for any $g \in G, x \in M, \eta \in T_x^*M,$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(g \cdot (x, \eta)) &= g \cdot x \quad (= g \cdot \pi(x, \eta)) \\ \Rightarrow d\pi(X_{T^*M}(x, \eta)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \pi(\exp tX \cdot (x, \eta)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \exp tX \cdot \pi(x, \eta) \quad \text{by } \uparrow \\ &= X_M(\pi(x, \eta)) \quad (= X_M(x)) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2 For any diffeomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$

$$(\tilde{\varphi})^* \alpha_{T^*M} = \alpha_{T^*M},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}: T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ is the lift of φ , and $\alpha_{T^*M} = \alpha \in \Omega^1(T^*M)$ is the tautological 1-form.

Proof We use the fact that $\boxed{\oplus \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi.}$

$\forall x \in M, \eta \in T_x^*M, v \in T_{(x, \eta)}(T^*M)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\varphi}^* \alpha)_{(x, \eta)}(v) &= \alpha_{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \eta)}(d\tilde{\varphi}|_{(x, \eta)}(v)) \quad \text{by def of } \tilde{\varphi}^* \\ &= \langle (d\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(x)}^T \eta, d\pi_{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \eta)} \circ (d\tilde{\varphi})_{(x, \eta)} v \rangle \quad \text{by def of } \alpha \\ &= \langle \eta, (d\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(x)} \circ d\pi_{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \eta)} \circ d\tilde{\varphi}_{(x, \eta)} v \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta, \cancel{(d\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(x)}} \circ \cancel{d\varphi_x} \circ d\pi_{(x, \eta)} v \rangle \quad \text{by } \oplus \\ &= \langle \eta, d\pi_{(x, \eta)} v \rangle \quad \text{by chain rule (and the fact that } (\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi)(x) = x \text{)} \\ &= \alpha_{(x, \eta)}(v) \quad \text{by definition of } \alpha. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(Proposition 2 is fact #3 on p 10.6. We use it together with Cartan's formula to prove:

$\forall Y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\mu^Y: T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu^Y(x, \eta) = \alpha_{(x, \eta)}(Y_{T^*M}(x, \eta))$$

is a conserved quantity for any G -invariant Hamiltonian $H: T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Proposition 1 implies:

Proposition 3 let $G \times M \rightarrow M$, $G \times T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ be the actions as in Proposition 1. and let $\mu^y(x, \eta) = \alpha_{(x, \eta)}(Y_{T^*M}(x, \eta))$

Then $\mu^x(x, \eta) = \langle \eta, Y_M(x) \rangle$.

Proof $\alpha_{(x, \eta)}(Y_{T^*M}(x, \eta)) = \langle \eta, d\pi_{(x, \eta)} Y_{T^*M}(x, \eta) \rangle$
 $= \langle \eta, Y_M(x) \rangle$ by Prop 1.

This concludes our proof of Noether's theorem (except for a proof of Cartan's formula).