

Last time: In a metric space (E, d) , $K \subseteq E$ compact $\Rightarrow K$ is closed and bounded.
(The converse is false)

Theorem 10.3 X topological space, $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq K_n \supseteq \dots$ sequence of nested closed compact sets. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$.

Then

$E = E \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \setminus K_n) \Rightarrow \{E \setminus K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an open cover of E and, hence, of K_1 . Since K_1 is compact

$\exists n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_e$ st

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\subseteq (E \setminus K_{n_1}) \cup (E \setminus K_{n_2}) \cup \dots \cup (E \setminus K_{n_e}) = E \\ &= E \setminus (K_{n_1} \cap K_{n_2} \cap \dots \cap K_{n_e}) \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ K_i \text{ is nested!}}}{=} E \setminus K_{n_e} \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction since $K_{n_e} \subseteq K_1$. □

Definition A subset K of a topological space is sequentially compact if every sequence in K has a convergent subsequence with a limit in K .

Ex 11.0 Suppose $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and bounded. Then K is sequentially compact: by HW#3 problem 6 any sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in K has a convergent subsequence $\{s_{n_k}\}$.
Since K is closed, $L = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} \in K$.

Lemma 11.1 Let (E, d) be a metric space. Suppose $K \subseteq E$ is compact. Then K is sequentially compact.

Proof: Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence in K . We argue:

(*) $\exists x \in K$ so that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \mid s_n \in B_E(x, \epsilon) \text{ is infinite}$.

(*) \Rightarrow There is a subsequence that converges to x :

take $\varepsilon = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$ and find $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ st

$$s_{n_k} \in B_{\frac{1}{k}}(x)$$

Suppose (*) does not hold. Then $\forall x \in K \exists \varepsilon(x)$ st

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid s_n \in B_{\varepsilon(x)}(x)\} \text{ is finite.}$$

The collection $\{B_{\varepsilon(x)}(x) \mid x \in K\}$ is an open cover of K .

Since K is compact, it has a finite subcover:

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in K \mid K \subseteq B_{\varepsilon(x_1)}(x_1) \cup B_{\varepsilon(x_2)}(x_2) \cup \dots \cup B_{\varepsilon(x_n)}(x_n)\}$$

$$\text{But } \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid s_n \in B_{\varepsilon(x_1)}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\varepsilon(x_n)}(x_n)\} \text{ is}$$

finite. Contradiction.

Definition: A subset K of a metric space is totally bounded if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$\exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in K \text{ st } K \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\varepsilon}(x_n), \text{ ie}$$

K can be covered by finitely many balls of radius ε .

Lemma 11.2: Suppose (E, d) is a metric space, $K \subseteq E$ sequentially compact.

Then (K, d) is complete and K is totally bounded.

Proof: Suppose $\{s_n\} \subseteq K$ is Cauchy. Since K is sequentially compact, $\{s_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence with limit L in K .

Since $\{s_n\}$ is Cauchy, $s_n \rightarrow L$.

Suppose K is not totally bounded. Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ st K cannot

be covered by finitely many ε -balls $\Rightarrow \exists x_1 \text{ st } K \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_1) \neq \emptyset$.

$\exists x_2 \in K \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_1) \text{ st } K \setminus (B_\varepsilon(x_2) \cup B_\varepsilon(x_1)) \neq \emptyset$

$\exists x_n \in K \setminus (B_\varepsilon(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_\varepsilon(x_{n-1})) \text{ st } K \setminus (B_\varepsilon(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_\varepsilon(x_n)) \neq \emptyset$

We get a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in K with $d(x_n, x_m) \geq \varepsilon$ for $n \neq m$.

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$ has no Cauchy subsequence and hence no convergent subsequence

Contradiction. □

Lemma 11.3 (E, d) metric space, $K \subseteq E$ complete and totally bounded. Then K is compact.

Proof Suppose \mathcal{U} an open cover of K with no finite subcover. Since K is totally bounded, K can be covered by finitely many open balls of radius 1 . $\Rightarrow \exists x_0 \in K$ s.t.

$B_1(x_0)$ cannot be covered by finitely many U_α 's.

There is a finite cover of K by balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.

Consider a subset of these balls that cover $B_1(x_0)$.

The one of these balls, say $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_0)$ cannot be covered by finitely many U_α 's.

Proceeding this way we get a sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots$

s.t. $B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(x_n) \cap B_{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}}(x_{n+1}) \neq \emptyset$ (otherwise x_n is a limit point)

$$\text{(and then } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{2}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}})$$

and $B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(x_n)$ cannot be covered by finitely many U_α 's

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } d(x_n, x_{n+k}) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}) \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^k}\right) < \frac{2}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \end{aligned}$$

$\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Since K is complete, $x_n \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in K$.

Since $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ covers K , $\exists U_{\alpha_0}$ s.t. $y \in U_{\alpha_0}$.

Since U_{α_0} is open $\exists r > 0$ s.t. $B_r(y) \subseteq U_{\alpha_0}$.

Since $x_n \rightarrow y$ $\exists n$ s.t. $x_n \in B_{r/2}(y)$ and $\frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{r}{2}$.

Then $B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(x_n) \subseteq B_r(y) \subseteq U_{\alpha_0}$.

Contradiction, since $B_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(x_n)$ cannot be covered by one U_α . □

Summary For a subset of a metric space:

compact \Rightarrow sequentially compact \Rightarrow complete and totally bounded \Rightarrow compact.

Thus all three are equivalent.

Heine-Borel theorem. A subset K of \mathbb{R}^n is compact $\Leftrightarrow K$ is closed and bounded.

Proof (\Rightarrow). true in any metric space.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose K is closed and bounded. By Ex 11.0, K is sequentially compact. By 11.2 K is complete and totally bounded. By 11.3 K is compact. \square

Ex. \mathbb{R} , $d(x,y) = \min(1, |x-y|)$

(\mathbb{R}, d) is bounded but it's not totally bounded since it's complete but not compact. (in fact \mathbb{R} is not compact)

$$B_{y_2}^d(x) = (x - \frac{1}{2}, x + \frac{1}{2}) \quad \forall x$$

as \mathbb{R} cannot be covered by finitely many balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.

Thus only in (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) (or d_∞ or d_α)
bounded \Rightarrow totally bounded.

Next time continuous functions

(we'll discuss the last bit of chapter 3, connected sets, later)