

Last time: Finished proving: $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ measurable function

Then \exists sequence $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq f$

of non-negative simple functions so that $s_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise

If f is bounded $s_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

We also proved: if $0 \leq f \leq g$ and $E \subseteq F$ measurable, then

$$(i) \quad \int_E f dm = \int_E g$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_E f dm \leq \int_F f dm$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{If } m(E) = 0 \text{ then } \int_E f dm = 0.$$

Aside: Arithmetic on $[-\infty, +\infty]$:

$$\text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad x + (\pm \infty) = \pm \infty, \quad x - (\pm \infty) = \mp \infty$$

$$(+\infty) + (+\infty) = +\infty = (+\infty) - (-\infty)$$

But $(+\infty) + (-\infty)$, $(+\infty) - (+\infty)$ and $(-\infty) - (-\infty)$ are not defined.

Thus for $x, y \in [-\infty, +\infty]$, $x+y$ is defined if $\{x, y\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

Multiplication:

$$(\pm \infty) \circ (\pm \infty) = +\infty, \quad (\pm \infty) \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty$$

$$\text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad x \cdot (\pm \infty) = (\pm \infty) \cdot x = \begin{cases} \pm \infty & \text{for } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{for } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition: Given $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ we define

$$f_+(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & f(x) \geq 0 \\ 0 & f(x) < 0 \end{cases} \quad f_-(x) = \begin{cases} -f(x) & f(x) \leq 0 \\ 0 & f(x) > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } f = f_+ - f_-$$

Lemma 37.1 If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is measurable then so are f_+ and f_- .

Proof For $a > 0$, $(f_+)^{-1}(a, \infty] = f^{-1}(a, \infty]$

for $a < 0$, $(f_+)^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \mathbb{R}$.

Similarly for $a < 0$, $(f_-)^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \mathbb{R}$

For $a > 0$, $x \in (f_-)^{-1}((a, \infty]) \Leftrightarrow -f(x) \in (a, \infty]$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(x) \in [-\infty, -a] \Leftrightarrow x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, -a))$$

Thus $(f_-)^{-1}((a, \infty]) = [-\infty, -a)$. □

Definition let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a measurable function,
 $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable set. Suppose $\int_E f_+ dm$, $\int_E f_- dm$
are finite. Then we define

$$\int_E f dm := \int_E f_+ dm - \int_E f_- dm$$

Aside It may happen that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R_1, R_2 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R_1}^{R_2} f(x) dx$

exists, but $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_+ dm$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_- dm$ are $+\infty$ hence
 $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f dm$ does not exist.

$$\text{Ex } f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} & n-1 \leq x < n \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$, which exists.

$$\text{But } \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_+ dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{2n}}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = +\infty$$

and similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_- dm = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{2n}}{2n+1} = +\infty.$$

Note $|f| = f_+ + f_-$. If f measurable, is $|f|$ measurable?

Proposition 37.2 Suppose $f, g: \mathbb{R} - [-\infty, \infty]$ are measurable and $f + g$ is defined ($\text{re } \nexists x \text{ s.t. } f(x) = \pm\infty \text{ or } g(x) = \pm\infty$)

Then $f + g$ is measurable.

Proof $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \mid f(x) + g(x) > a\} &= \{x \mid f(x) > a - g(x)\} \\ &= \{x \mid f(x) > r > a - g(x) \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Q}\} \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{x \mid f(x) > r\} \cap \{x \mid a - g(x) < r\}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a countable union of measurable sets.

Cor if f is measurable then so is $|f|$.

Exercise let $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_n$ be a sequence of measurable functions, $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$. Then f is measurable.

Lemma 37.3 let $s: \mathbb{R} - [0, \infty)$ be a simple function,

$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq E_n \subseteq \dots$ a sequence of measurable sets,

$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Then

$$\int_E s dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} s dm.$$

Proof

As we have seen in lecture 36, it's no loss of generality to assume that $s = \chi_G$ for some measurable G . Then

$$\int_{E_n} s dm = m(G \cap E_n) \quad \forall n; \quad \int_E s dm = m(G \cap E).$$

Since

$$G \cap E_1 \subseteq G \cap E_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G \cap E_n \subseteq \dots \text{ and } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (G \cap E_n) = G \cap E,$$

$$m(G \cap E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(G \cap E_n).$$

We're done.

Remark Result holds for arbitrary functions. See Adams-Gigliomin, p. 67.

Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem

Let $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_n \leq \dots$ be a sequence of measurable functions, $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dm \quad (= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n) dm)$$

Proof Since $f_n \leq f + \epsilon_n$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dm + \epsilon_n$.

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dm.$$

To prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f dm \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm,$$

Consider a simple function s with $0 \leq s \leq f$.

We argue: (*) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} s dm$.

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and let

$$E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_n(x) > (1-\epsilon)s\} \quad \forall n.$$

$$\text{Note that } E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_n(x) + (\epsilon-1) \cdot s > 0\}$$

which is measurable since f_n and $(\epsilon-1) \cdot s$ are measurable functions. Also $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \mathbb{R}$ since $f_n \nearrow f$, and $f \geq s$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \geq \int_{E_n} f_n dm \geq (1-\epsilon) \int_{E_n} s dm.$$

$$\text{By 37.3, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1-\epsilon) \int_{E_n} s dm = (1-\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} s dm.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1-\epsilon) \int_{E_n} s dm = (1-\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} s dm.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n dm \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} s dm$
and we're done.