

*Last Time.* We constructed for every finite dimensional vector space  $V$  a non-degenerate bilinear pairing

$$\Lambda^k(V^*) \times \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with } \langle l_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge l_k, v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \rangle = \det(l_i(v_j))$$

for any  $l_1, \dots, l_k \in V^*$ , any  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$ . As a consequence we can identify any product  $l_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge l_k \in \Lambda^k(V^*)$  with an alternating  $k$ -linear map that whose value on the  $k$ -tuple  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  is  $\det(l_i(v_j))$ . From now on we identify

$$\Lambda^k(V^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Alt}^k(V; \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\text{Alt}^k(V; \mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of alternating  $k$ -linear maps. As a result, since  $\Lambda^*(V^*)$  is a graded algebra, we can now multiply  $k$ -linear and  $\ell$ -linear alternating maps and get  $k + \ell$ -linear alternating maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^k(V^*) \times \Lambda^\ell(V^*) &\longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+\ell}(V^*) \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\longmapsto \alpha \wedge \beta. \end{aligned}$$

*Recall.* For a manifold  $M$ , the *tangent bundle*  $TM = \coprod_{q \in M} T_q M$  can be given the structure of a manifold. In particular if  $\varphi = (x_1, \dots, x_m) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a chart on  $M$ , then

$$\tilde{\varphi} = (x_1, \dots, x_m, dx_1, \dots, dx_m) : TU \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

is a chart on  $TM$ . Recall also that Vector fields on  $M$  are *sections* of  $TM \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ , that is, maps  $X : M \rightarrow TM$  with  $\pi \circ X = \text{id}_M$ .

Similarly one can define the *cotangent bundle*  $T^*M = \coprod_{q \in M} T_q^* M$  and give it the structure of a smooth manifold in more or less the same way we made the tangent bundle into a manifold. That is, we manufacture new coordinate charts on  $T^*M$  out of coordinate charts on  $M$  and check that the transition maps between the new coordinate charts are smooth. If  $\varphi$  is a chart then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi} &= \left( x_1, \dots, x_m, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \right) : T^*U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \\ (q, \eta) &\longmapsto \left( x_1(q), \dots, x_m(q), \left\langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Big|_q \right\rangle, \dots, \left\langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \Big|_q \right\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a chart on  $T^*M$ .

Here is an excerpt from the old notes checking the smoothness of the transition maps. Let  $\psi = (y_1, \dots, y_n) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a coordinate chart on  $M$  with  $V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(r_1, \dots, r_n, w_1, \dots, w_n) &= \bar{\psi} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (dx_i)_{\varphi^{-1}(r)} \right) \\ &= ((\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})(r), \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i dx_i \right), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i dx_i \right)) \\ &= ((\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})(r), \sum_i w_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \sum_i w_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_n}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$(23.1) \quad \bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(r_1, \dots, r_n, w_1, \dots, w_n) = (\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(r), \left( \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_j}(r) \right) \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}),$$

which is smooth. The rest of the argument proceeds as in the case of the tangent bundle.

We will see in a week that one can construct the exterior powers  $\Lambda^k(T^*M) = \coprod_{q \in M} \Lambda^k(T_q^*M)$  and get manifolds over  $M$ . The smooth sections of  $\Lambda^k(T^*M) \rightarrow M$  are called differential  $k$ -forms.

*Notation.*  $\Omega^k(M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(\Lambda^k(T^*M))$  such that  $\pi \circ \omega = \text{id}_M$ . What then is  $\Omega^0(M)$ ? By convention  $\Omega^0(T^*M) = M \times \mathbb{R}$ . Consequently

$$\Omega^0(M) = \{ M \xrightarrow{\tau} M \times \mathbb{R} \mid \tau(q) = (q, f(q)), f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \} = C^\infty(M)$$

**Remark 23.1.** Differential forms on  $M$  can be multiplied point-wise:  $\forall \alpha \in \Omega^k(M) \forall \beta \in \Omega^l(M)$

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta)_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_q \wedge \beta_q$$

for all points  $q \in M$ .

**Example 23.2.** Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $TM = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $T^*M = \mathbb{R}^m \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ . At every  $q \in \mathbb{R}^m$  we have a basis of  $T_q^*\mathbb{R}^m : (dx_1)_q, \dots, (dx_m)_q$ . So  $\Lambda^k(T^*M) = \mathbb{R}^m \times \Lambda^k((\mathbb{R}^m)^*)$  and

$$\alpha \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^m) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \sum_{|I|=k} a_I dx_I$$

where  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $a_I \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , and  $dx_I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_m}$

**Example 23.3.** 1-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  look like

$$M(x, y) dx + N(x, y) dy$$

where  $M(x, y), N(x, y)$  are smooth functions.

**Example 23.4.** 2-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  look like

$$P(x, y, z) dx \wedge dy + Q(x, y, z) dy \wedge dz + R(x, y, z) dz \wedge dx,$$

where, again,  $P, Q$  and  $R$  are smooth functions.

**Example 23.5.**

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \cos v du - u \sin v dv \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \\ \beta &= \sin v du + u \cos v dv \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \wedge \beta &= (\cos v du - u \sin v dv) \wedge (\sin v du + u \cos v dv) \\ &= \cos v \sin v du \wedge du + u \cos^2 v du \wedge dv \\ &\quad - u \sin^2 v dv \wedge du + u^2 \sin v \cos v dv \wedge dv \\ &= u \cos^2 v du \wedge dv - u \sin^2 v dv \wedge du \\ &= u \cos^2 v du \wedge dv + u \sin^2 v du \wedge dv \\ &= u du \wedge dv \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 23.6.** For any function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $df$  is a 1-form. In particular given a coordinate chart  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  we have

$$df = \sum \left\langle df, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right\rangle dx_i = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

**Example 23.7.**

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v) &= u \cos v & df &= d(u \cos v) = \cos v du - u \sin v dv \\ g(u, v) &= u \sin v & dg &= d(u \sin v) = \cos v du + u \sin v dv \end{aligned}$$

Hence in Example 23.5 we have  $df \wedge dg = d(u \cos v) \wedge d(u \sin v) = u du \wedge dv$ .

**Remark 23.8.** Once we define *pullback* of differential forms we'll see that  $u du \wedge dv$  is the pullback of  $dx \wedge dy$  by  $f(u, v) = (u \cos v, u \sin v)$ .

We now proceed to define pullbacks of differential forms by smooth maps.

*Recall.* Given a linear map  $A : V \rightarrow W$  between two vector spaces we get  $\Lambda^k A : \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \Lambda^k W$ . We also have  $A^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$  where

$$(A^* l)(v) = l(Av) = (l \circ A)(v)$$

Hence given a linear map  $A : V \rightarrow W$  we get  $\Lambda^k(A^*) : \Lambda^k W^* \rightarrow \Lambda^k V^*$  with  $(l_1 \wedge \dots \wedge l_k) \mapsto (A^* l_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (A^* l_k)$ .

What does this map  $\Lambda^k(A^*)$  amount to when we identify exterior powers of the dual vector spaces with alternating multilinear maps? We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\Lambda^k(A^*))l_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge l_k)(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= (l_1 \circ A) \wedge \cdots \wedge (l_k \circ A)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= \det(l_i(Av_j)) \\ &= (l_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge l_k)(Av_1, \dots, Av_k) \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 23.9.** Note that for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(W^*)$  and all  $\beta \in \Lambda^n(W^*)$  we have

$$\Lambda^k(A^*)\alpha \wedge \Lambda^n(A^*)\beta = \Lambda^{k+n}(A^*)(\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

since  $\Lambda^*(A^*)$  is a map of algebras!

With these preliminaries out of the way we are now set to define pullbacks of differential forms. If  $F : M \rightarrow N$  is a map of manifolds then for all  $q \in M$  we have  $dF_q : T_qM \rightarrow T_{F(q)}N$  which gives us the following map of algebras

$$\Lambda^*((dF_q)^*) : \Lambda^*(T_{F(q)}^*N) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(T_q^*M)$$

So for  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(N)$  we get  $F^*\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  defined by

$$(23.2) \quad (F^*\alpha)_q = \Lambda^*((dF_q)^*)\alpha_{F(q)}.$$

Strictly speaking we should check that if  $\alpha$  is a *smooth* differential form on  $N$  then its pullback  $F^*\alpha$  is also smooth. But let's not worry about this for the time being, certainly not until after we define  $\Lambda^k(T^*M)$ . Equation (23.2) translates into:

$$(23.3) \quad (F^*\alpha)_q(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \alpha_{F(q)}((dF_q)v_1, \dots, (dF_q)v_k)$$

Why did we define pullback of differential forms by (23.2) and not by (23.3)? Because (23.2) automatically implies that

$$F^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = F^*\alpha \wedge F^*\beta$$

for all differential forms  $\alpha, \beta$  on  $N$ .

*Next Time.*  $F^*(df) = df \circ F$ . Hence if  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$  then  $dx \wedge dy = r dr \wedge d\theta$ .