

Last Time.

- (1) Given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ we've constructed the dual bundle $E^* \rightarrow M$ and exterior powers bundles, $\Lambda^n E \rightarrow M$, $n = 0, \dots, \text{rank } E$. Note that for any manifold M we have $TM \rightarrow M$ and hence we also have
 - the dual bundle $(TM)^* = T^*M$, which is the cotangent bundle.
 - $\Lambda^n(T^*M)$ for $0 \leq n \leq \dim M$.
 - $\Gamma(\Lambda^n(T^*M)) = \Omega^n(M)$, differential forms.
- (2) We've constructed the *Whitney sum* $E \oplus F \rightarrow M$ of vector bundles $E \rightarrow M$ and $F \rightarrow M$.
- (3) We've also defined categories and considered a few examples of categories: the category **Set** of sets, the category **Top** of topological spaces and continuous maps, the category **Man** of manifolds and smooth maps, the category **Vec** of real finite dimensional vector spaces and linear maps, the category Vec^{iso} of finite dimensional real vector spaces and linear *isomorphisms*. Note that for an object V of Vec^{iso} , i.e., for a vector space V , we have $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}^{\text{iso}}}(V, V) = \text{GL}(V)$.

Definition 28.1. A (*covariant*) *functor* $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ from a category \mathcal{C} to a category \mathcal{D} is a pair of objects $F_0 : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0$ and (compatible) maps $F_1 : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ such that the following holds

- (1) For all objects $A \in \mathcal{C}_0$ we have $F_1(1_A) = 1_{F_0(A)}$.
- (2) For all (compatible) morphisms $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_1$ we have $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$.

Example 28.2. We have the *underlying functor* $U : \text{Man} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ which assigns to a manifold the underlying set.

Remark 28.3. A *contravariant functor* reverses the composition order. So instead of condition (2) in Definition 28.1 we have for all (compatible) morphisms $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_1$ that $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(f) \circ F_1(g)$.

Notation. Given a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ one usually writes F for both F_1 and F_0 .

Example 28.4. The functor $(-)^* : \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ that takes the duals, that is, $(V \xrightarrow{A} W) \mapsto (V^* \xleftarrow{A^*} W^*)$ is a contravariant functor.

Example 28.5. $[(-)^*]^{-1} : \text{Vec}^{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \text{Vec}^{\text{iso}}$ with $(V \xrightarrow{A} W) \mapsto (V^* \xrightarrow{(A^*)^{-1}} W^*)$ is a covariant functor.

Example 28.6. The mapping $\Lambda^n : \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ with $(A \xrightarrow{A} W) \mapsto (\Lambda^n V \xrightarrow{\Lambda^n A} \Lambda^n W)$ is a functor.

Question. What about \oplus and \otimes ? They don't look like functors since they require *pairs* of vector spaces as inputs and *pairs* of maps.

Definition 28.7. Let $\mathcal{C}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ be categories. The objects of the product category $\mathcal{C}^{(1)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ are n -tuples of objects (X_1, \dots, X_n) such that each $X_i \in \mathcal{C}^{(i)}$. Morphisms are n -tuples of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}^{(i)}$'s. I.e $(X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_1, \dots, X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Y_n)$ where each $X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} Y_i$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{C}^{(i)}$.

Example 28.8. $\oplus : \text{Vec} \times \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ with $(V_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} W_1, V_2 \xrightarrow{A_2} W_2) \mapsto (V_1 \oplus V_2 \xrightarrow{A_1 \oplus A_2} W_1 \oplus W_2)$ is a functor.

Example 28.9 (Smooth functor). $\otimes : \text{Vec} \times \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ with $(V_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} W_1, V_2 \xrightarrow{A_2} W_2) \mapsto (V_1 \otimes V_2 \xrightarrow{A_1 \otimes A_2} W_1 \otimes W_2)$ is a functor.

Definition 28.10. A (covariant) functor $F : (\text{Vec}^{\text{iso}})^n \rightarrow \text{Vec}^{\text{iso}}$ is C^∞ if for any n -tuple of vector spaces (V_1, \dots, V_n) the map $F : \underbrace{\text{GL}(V_1) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(V_n)}_{\text{Hom}_{(\text{Vec}^{\text{iso}})^n}((V_1, \dots, V_n), (V_1, \dots, V_n))} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{GL}(F(V_1, \dots, V_n))}_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}^{\text{iso}}}(F(V_1, \dots, V_n), F(V_1, \dots, V_n))}$ is C^∞ .

Example 28.11. Examples 28.6, 28.8, and 28.9 above provide C^∞ functors if we restrict Vec to Vec^{iso} .

Theorem 28.12. If $F : (\text{Vec}^{\text{iso}})^n \rightarrow \text{Vec}^{\text{iso}}$ is a C^∞ functor, then for any n -tuple of vector bundles $\{E_i \rightarrow M\}_{i=1}^n$ there exists a vector bundle $F(E_1, \dots, E_n) \rightarrow M$ such that for all $q \in M$ we have $F(E_1, \dots, E_n)_q = F((E_1)_q, \dots, (E_n)_q)$.

Sketch of Proof. As a set define $F(E_1, \dots, E_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{q \in M} F((E_1)_q, \dots, (E_n)_q)$. Let $\{\varphi_\alpha^{(i)} : E^{(i)}|_{U_\alpha} \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^{k_i}\}_{\alpha \in A, i=1}^n$ be a collection of trivializations with $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ a cover of M . Then

$$F(\varphi_\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_\alpha^{(n)}) : F(E_1, \dots, E_n)|_{U_\alpha} \rightarrow U_\alpha \times (\mathbb{R}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{k_n})$$

are purported trivializations of $F(E_1, \dots, E_n)$. Then for all $q \in U_\alpha$ we have

$$F(\varphi_\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_\alpha^{(n)})|_{F((E_1)_q, \dots, (E_n)_q)} = F(\varphi_\alpha^{(1)}(q), \dots, \varphi_\alpha^{(n)}(q))$$

The corresponding transition maps of $F(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ are

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{F(E_1, \dots, E_n)}(q) = F(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(q), \dots, \varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(q))$$

which are C^∞ since F is a C^∞ functor. □

Our next goal, for a week or two are Stokes' and divergence theorems. Recall the fundamental theorem of calculus. It states that

$$\int_a^b f'(x) dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

which we may rewrite as

$$\int_{[a,b]} df = \int_{\partial([a,b])} f,$$

Recall also

Theorem 28.13 (Green's Theorem). *If $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is a domain with smooth boundary ∂D , then*

$$\int_{\partial D} P(x, y) dx - Q(x, y) dy = \int_D \left(-\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right) dx dy$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} dP &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} dy \\ dQ &= \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} dy \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we set $\alpha = P(x, y) dx - Q(x, y) dy$, we can rewrite the statement of Green's theorem as

$$\int_{\partial D} \alpha = \int_D dP \wedge dx + dQ \wedge dy$$

If we set $d\alpha := dP \wedge dx + dQ \wedge dy$, then the statement of Green's theorem shortens to

$$\int_{\partial D} \alpha = \int_D d\alpha,$$

which now looks just like the fundamental theorem of calculus except now instead of a function f we have a one-form α . The general theorem that subsumes the two theorems above as special cases is:

Theorem 28.14 (Stokes' Theorem). *Let D be a domain in an oriented manifold M with boundary ∂D (oriented appropriately). Then for all $\omega \in \Omega_c^{\dim M - 1}(M)$*

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega = \int_D d\omega.$$

To make sense of the statement we need to sort out a number of things: "domain," why the boundary of a domain is a manifold, "induced orientation of the boundary," the exterior derivative d applied to all differential forms and not just to functions ...